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## 8.2 $T$-joins and $T$-cuts

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph where loops and parallel edges are allowed; however, loops are thought of as vertexless edges. For an edge subset $J \subseteq E$, denote by $\operatorname{odd}(J) \subseteq V$ the set of vertices incident with an odd number of edges of $J$. Clearly $\operatorname{odd}(J)$ has even cardinality. Notice that

$$
\operatorname{odd}\left(J_{1}\right) \triangle \operatorname{odd}\left(J_{2}\right)=\operatorname{odd}\left(J_{1} \triangle J_{2}\right) \quad J_{1}, J_{2} \subseteq E
$$

where $\triangle$ is the symmetric difference operator. A subset $C \subseteq E$ is a cycle if $\operatorname{odd}(C)=\emptyset$. Observe that $\emptyset$ and loops are cycles. A circuit is a nonempty cycle that does not properly contain another nonempty cycle. We leave the following as an exercise:

Remark 8.6. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph, and take a nonempty subset $C \subseteq E$. The $C$ is a cycle if, and only if, $C$ is a disjoint union of circuits.

We will use this basic observation without reference. Take a subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. A $T$-join is an edge subset $J \subseteq E$ such that $\operatorname{odd}(J)=T$. For instance, $\emptyset$-joins are precisely cycles, and for distinct vertices $s, t \in V$, every st-path is an $\{s, t\}$-join.

Remark 8.7. Take a graph $G=(V, E)$, a subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality, and a $T$-join $J$. Then

$$
\left\{J^{\prime} \subseteq E: J^{\prime} \text { is a } T \text {-join }\right\}=\{J \triangle C: C \text { is a cycle }\}
$$

Proof. Suppose first that $J^{\prime} \subseteq E$ is a $T$-join. Then $\operatorname{odd}\left(J^{\prime} \triangle J\right)=\operatorname{odd}\left(J^{\prime}\right) \triangle \operatorname{odd}(J)=T \triangle T=\emptyset$, so $J^{\prime} \triangle J$ is a cycle, and as $J^{\prime}=J \triangle\left(J^{\prime} \triangle J\right)$, we are done. Conversely, take a cycle $C$. Then odd $(J \triangle C)=$ $\operatorname{odd}(J) \triangle \operatorname{odd}(C)=T \triangle \emptyset=T$, so $J \triangle C$ is a $T$-join and we are done.

Given a graph and a vertex subset $T$ of even cardinality, what is the minimum cardinality of a $T$-join? When $T=\emptyset$, the answer is zero as $\emptyset$ is a $T$-join. We may therefore focus on nonempty $T$. The two remarks above provide the following partial answer to this question:

Remark 8.8 (Sebő 1987 [1]). Take a graph $G=(V, E)$, a nonempty subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality, and a $T$-join $J$. Define weights $w \in\{-1,1\}^{E}$ as follows: for each $e \in J$ set $w_{e}:=-1$, and for each $e \in E-J$ set $w_{e}:=1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $J$ is a minimum T-join,
- there is no cycle of total negative weight,
- there is no circuit of total negative weight.

Take a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a nonempty subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. A $T$-cut is a cut of the form $\delta(U) \subseteq E$ where $|U \cap T|$ is odd. For instance, for distinct vertices $s, t$ of $G$, an st-cut is an $\{s, t\}$-cut.

Proposition 8.9. Take a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a nonempty subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the clutter of minimal $T$-joins over ground set $E$. Then $b(\mathcal{C})$ is the clutter of minimal $T$-cuts.

Proof. We need to show that (a) every $T$-cut is a cover of $\mathcal{C}$, and (b) every cover of $\mathcal{C}$ contains a $T$-cut. (a) Take a $T$-cut $\delta(U)$. We need to show that $\delta(U)$ intersects every $T$-join. Suppose otherwise. Take a $T$-join $J$ such that $J \cap \delta(U)=\emptyset$. Then the odd-degree vertices of $J \cap E(G[U])$ are precisely $T \cap U$, a contradiction as $|T \cap U|$ is odd. (b) Conversely, let $B \subseteq E$ be a cover of $\mathcal{C}$. Then the graph $H:=G \backslash B$ does not contain a $T$-join. To prove that $B$ contains a $T$-cut of $G$, it suffices to argue why $H$ has an empty $T$-cut. To this end, let $A$ be the vertex-edge incidence matrix of $H$, and let $b \in\{0,1\}^{V}$ be the incidence vector of $T \subseteq V$. (So the loops of $H$ are the zero columns of $A$.) Since $H$ has no $T$-join, it follows that the system

$$
A x \equiv b \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

has no $0-1$ solution. By the Farkas Lemma for binary spaces, there is a certificate $c \in\{0,1\}^{V}$ such that

$$
c^{\top} A \equiv \mathbf{0} \quad \text { and } \quad c^{\top} b \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

Pick $U \subseteq V$ such that $c=\chi_{U}$. The second equation implies that $|U \cap T|$ is odd, while the first equation implies that $\delta(U)$ is an empty cut of $H$, so $\delta(U)$ is an empty $T$-cut of $H$, as required.

Let's see what minors of the clutter of minimal $T$-joins correspond to in terms of the graph. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph and take a possibly empty subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the clutter of minimal $T$-joins over ground set $E$. Take an edge $e \in E$. The deletion $(G, T) \backslash e$ is the pair $(G \backslash e, T)$. It is clear that the minimal $T$-joins of $(G, T) \backslash e$ are the members of $\mathcal{C} \backslash e$. The contraction $(G, T) / e$ is the pair $\left(G / e, T^{\prime}\right)$ where ${ }^{1}$

$$
T^{\prime}= \begin{cases}T-e & \text { if }|e \cap T| \text { is even } \\ (T-e) \cup\{\text { shrunk vertex }\} & \text { if }|e \cap T| \text { is odd } .\end{cases}
$$

Observe that $T^{\prime}$ is a set of even cardinality. Notice that if $J$ is a $T$-join of $G$, then $J-\{e\}$ is a $T^{\prime}$-join of $G / e$. Conversely, if $J^{\prime}$ is a $T^{\prime}$-join of $G / e$, then $J^{\prime} \cup\{e\}$ contains a $T$-join of $G$. Hence, the minimal $T^{\prime}$-joins of $(G, T) / e$ are the members of $\mathcal{C} / e$. For disjoint subsets $I, J \subseteq E$, the minor $(G, T) \backslash I / J$ is what is obtained after deleting $I$ and contracting $J$. Notice that the minimal $T^{\prime}$-joins of $\left(G \backslash I / J, T^{\prime}\right):=(G, T) \backslash I / J$ are the members of $\mathcal{C} \backslash I / J$.

[^0]Let's get back to our question regarding minimum $T$-joins. Notice that the minimum cardinality of a $T$ join is equal to the covering number of the clutter of minimal $T$-cuts. So does the clutter of minimal $T$-cuts necessarily pack? Consider the complete graph $K_{4}$ on 4 vertices, let $T:=V\left(K_{4}\right)$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be its clutter of minimal $T$-cuts. Then $\mathcal{C}$ consists of the claws of $K_{4}$, and the blocker $b(\mathcal{C})$ - the minimal $T$-joins - consists of the claws as well as the perfect matchings. So $\tau(\mathcal{C})=2$, and as there are no disjoint claws, it follows that $\nu(\mathcal{C})=1$, so $\mathcal{C}$ does not pack. Despite this shortcoming, we can prove the following result. The proof we present is due to Sebő 1987 [1].

Theorem 8.10 (Seymour 1981 [2]). Take a bipartite graph $G=(V, E)$, and a nonempty subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. Then the minimum cardinality of a $T$-join is equal to the maximum number of disjoint $T$-cuts. That is, the clutter of minimal $T$-cuts of a bipartite graph packs.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of vertices of $G$. The base case $|V|=2$ holds trivially. For the induction step, assume that $|V| \geq 3$. Denote by $\tau$ the minimum cardinality of a $T$-join. We will construct $\tau$ disjoint $T$-cuts. If $\tau=1$, then we are done. We may therefore assume that $\tau \geq 2$. Among all minimum $T$-joins, pick the one $J$ whose longest path is the longest compared to the other ones. Define weights $w \in\{-1,1\}^{E}$ as follows: for each $e \in J$ set $w_{e}:=-1$, and for each $e \in E-J$ set $w_{e}:=1$. By Remark 8.8, $G$ has no negative cycle, and as $G$ is bipartite, every cycle has even weight.

Let $Q$ be the longest path contained in $J$ and let $u, v$ be its ends. As $Q$ is the longest path in $J$, and as $G$ has no negative cycle, it follows that $u, v$ each have degree 1 in $J$. In particular, $u, v \in \operatorname{odd}(J)=T$. Let $e^{\star}$ be the edge of $Q$ incident with $u$. Then $J \cap \delta(u)=\left\{e^{\star}\right\}$.

Claim 1. If $C$ is a circuit such that $C \cap \delta(u) \neq \emptyset$ and $e^{\star} \notin C$, then $w(C) \geq 2$.
Proof of Claim. Suppose otherwise. Since $w(C) \geq 0$ and $w(C)$ is even, it follows that $w(C)=0$. So $J \triangle C$ is another minimum $T$-join, and as $Q$ cannot be extended to a longer path in $J \triangle C, Q$ and $C$ must share a vertex other than $u$. Among all the vertices in $V(Q)-\{u\}$ that also belong to $V(C)$, pick the one $w$ that is closest to $u$ on $Q$. Let $Q^{\prime}$ be the $u w$-path in $Q$; as $e^{\star} \notin C$, it follows that $Q^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $Q^{\prime} \cap C=\emptyset$. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ be the two $u w$-paths partitioning $C$. Since $w\left(P_{1}\right)+w\left(P_{2}\right)=w(C)=0$ and $w\left(Q^{\prime}\right)<0$, it follows that one of $P_{1} \cup Q^{\prime}, P_{2} \cup Q^{\prime}$ is a negative circuit, a contradiction.

Claim 2. $u$ cannot be adjacent to all the other vertices in $T$.
Proof of Claim. Suppose otherwise. In particular, $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, and as $G$ has no negative cycle, $Q$ has length 1 . Since $Q$ is the longest path in $J$, it follows that $J$ is a matching, and as $\tau \geq 2$, the matching has an edge other than the edge of $Q$. Since $u$ is adjacent to the other matched vertices, $G$ has a triangle, a contradiction as $G$ is bipartite.

Let $\left(G^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right):=(G, T) / \delta(u)$. Notice that $G^{\prime}$ is still a bipartite graph, and by Claim $2, T^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Let $J^{\prime}:=J-\delta(u)$. Then $J^{\prime}$ is a $T^{\prime}$-join of $G^{\prime}$ of length $\tau-1$. In fact,

Claim 3. $J^{\prime}$ is a minimum $T^{\prime}$-join of $G^{\prime}$.

Proof of Claim. Define weights $w^{\prime} \in\{-1,1\}^{E\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$ on the edges of $G^{\prime}$ as follows: for each $e \in J^{\prime}$ set $w^{\prime}(e):=$ -1 , and for each $e \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-J^{\prime}$ set $w^{\prime}(e):=1$. Notice that $w^{\prime}$ is simply the restriction of $w$ to $E-\delta(u)=$ $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. To prove that $J^{\prime}$ is a minimum $T^{\prime}$-join of $G^{\prime}$, it suffices by Remark 8.8 to show that $G^{\prime}$ does not have a negative circuit. To this end, let $C^{\prime}$ be a circuit of $G^{\prime}$, and let $C$ be a circuit of $G$ such that $C^{\prime} \subseteq C \subseteq C^{\prime} \cup \delta(u)$. If $C=C^{\prime}$ or $e^{\star} \in C$, then $w^{\prime}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=w(C) \geq 0$. Otherwise, $C \cap \delta(u) \neq \emptyset$ and $e^{\star} \notin C$. It therefore follows from Claim 1 that

$$
w^{\prime}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=w(C)-2 \geq 0
$$

as required.
Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $G^{\prime}$ has $\tau-1$ disjoint $T$-cuts; these are also disjoint $T$-cuts of $G$, and together with $\delta(u)$, they give $\tau$ disjoint $T$-cuts in $G$, thereby completing the induction step. This finishes the proof.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this setting, to contract a loop is to delete it.

