# 47853 Packing and Covering: Lecture 10

# Ahmad Abdi

February 19, 2019

## **8.2** *T*-joins and *T*-cuts

Let G = (V, E) be a graph where loops and parallel edges are allowed; however, loops are thought of as vertexless edges. For an edge subset  $J \subseteq E$ , denote by  $odd(J) \subseteq V$  the set of vertices incident with an odd number of edges of J. Clearly odd(J) has even cardinality. Notice that

$$\operatorname{odd}(J_1) \triangle \operatorname{odd}(J_2) = \operatorname{odd}(J_1 \triangle J_2) \qquad J_1, J_2 \subseteq E,$$

where  $\triangle$  is the symmetric difference operator. A subset  $C \subseteq E$  is a *cycle* if  $odd(C) = \emptyset$ . Observe that  $\emptyset$  and loops are cycles. A *circuit* is a nonempty cycle that does not properly contain another nonempty cycle. We leave the following as an exercise:

**Remark 8.6.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph, and take a nonempty subset  $C \subseteq E$ . The C is a cycle if, and only if, C is a disjoint union of circuits.

We will use this basic observation without reference. Take a subset  $T \subseteq V$  of even cardinality. A *T*-join is an edge subset  $J \subseteq E$  such that odd(J) = T. For instance,  $\emptyset$ -joins are precisely cycles, and for distinct vertices  $s, t \in V$ , every *st*-path is an  $\{s, t\}$ -join.

**Remark 8.7.** Take a graph G = (V, E), a subset  $T \subseteq V$  of even cardinality, and a T-join J. Then

$$\{J' \subseteq E : J' \text{ is a } T\text{-join}\} = \{J \triangle C : C \text{ is a cycle}\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $J' \subseteq E$  is a T-join. Then  $odd(J' \triangle J) = odd(J') \triangle odd(J) = T \triangle T = \emptyset$ , so  $J' \triangle J$  is a cycle, and as  $J' = J \triangle (J' \triangle J)$ , we are done. Conversely, take a cycle C. Then  $odd(J \triangle C) = odd(J) \triangle odd(C) = T \triangle \emptyset = T$ , so  $J \triangle C$  is a T-join and we are done.

Given a graph and a vertex subset T of even cardinality, what is the minimum cardinality of a T-join? When  $T = \emptyset$ , the answer is zero as  $\emptyset$  is a T-join. We may therefore focus on nonempty T. The two remarks above provide the following partial answer to this question:

**Remark 8.8** (Sebő 1987 [1]). Take a graph G = (V, E), a nonempty subset  $T \subseteq V$  of even cardinality, and a T-join J. Define weights  $w \in \{-1, 1\}^E$  as follows: for each  $e \in J$  set  $w_e := -1$ , and for each  $e \in E - J$  set  $w_e := 1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- *J* is a minimum *T*-join,
- there is no cycle of total negative weight,
- there is no circuit of total negative weight.

Take a graph G = (V, E) and a *nonempty* subset  $T \subseteq V$  of even cardinality. A *T*-cut is a cut of the form  $\delta(U) \subseteq E$  where  $|U \cap T|$  is odd. For instance, for distinct vertices s, t of G, an st-cut is an  $\{s, t\}$ -cut.

**Proposition 8.9.** Take a graph G = (V, E) and a nonempty subset  $T \subseteq V$  of even cardinality. Let C be the clutter of minimal T-joins over ground set E. Then b(C) is the clutter of minimal T-cuts.

*Proof.* We need to show that (a) every T-cut is a cover of C, and (b) every cover of C contains a T-cut. (a) Take a T-cut  $\delta(U)$ . We need to show that  $\delta(U)$  intersects every T-join. Suppose otherwise. Take a T-join J such that  $J \cap \delta(U) = \emptyset$ . Then the odd-degree vertices of  $J \cap E(G[U])$  are precisely  $T \cap U$ , a contradiction as  $|T \cap U|$  is odd. (b) Conversely, let  $B \subseteq E$  be a cover of C. Then the graph  $H := G \setminus B$  does not contain a T-join. To prove that B contains a T-cut of G, it suffices to argue why H has an empty T-cut. To this end, let A be the vertex-edge incidence matrix of H, and let  $b \in \{0, 1\}^V$  be the incidence vector of  $T \subseteq V$ . (So the loops of H are the zero columns of A.) Since H has no T-join, it follows that the system

$$Ax \equiv b \pmod{2}$$

has no 0-1 solution. By the Farkas Lemma for binary spaces, there is a certificate  $c \in \{0,1\}^V$  such that

$$c^{\top}A \equiv \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad c^{\top}b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Pick  $U \subseteq V$  such that  $c = \chi_U$ . The second equation implies that  $|U \cap T|$  is odd, while the first equation implies that  $\delta(U)$  is an empty cut of H, so  $\delta(U)$  is an empty T-cut of H, as required.

Let's see what minors of the clutter of minimal T-joins correspond to in terms of the graph. Let G = (V, E)be a graph and take a possibly empty subset  $T \subseteq V$  of even cardinality. Let C be the clutter of minimal T-joins over ground set E. Take an edge  $e \in E$ . The *deletion*  $(G, T) \setminus e$  is the pair  $(G \setminus e, T)$ . It is clear that the minimal T-joins of  $(G, T) \setminus e$  are the members of  $C \setminus e$ . The *contraction* (G, T)/e is the pair (G/e, T') where<sup>1</sup>

$$T' = \begin{cases} T - e & \text{if } |e \cap T| \text{ is even} \\ (T - e) \cup \{\text{shrunk vertex}\} & \text{if } |e \cap T| \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that T' is a set of even cardinality. Notice that if J is a T-join of G, then  $J - \{e\}$  is a T'-join of G/e. Conversely, if J' is a T'-join of G/e, then  $J' \cup \{e\}$  contains a T-join of G. Hence, the minimal T'-joins of (G,T)/e are the members of C/e. For disjoint subsets  $I, J \subseteq E$ , the minor  $(G,T) \setminus I/J$  is what is obtained after deleting I and contracting J. Notice that the minimal T'-joins of  $(G \setminus I/J, T') := (G,T) \setminus I/J$  are the members of  $C \setminus I/J$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In this setting, to contract a loop is to delete it.

Let's get back to our question regarding minimum T-joins. Notice that the minimum cardinality of a T-join is equal to the covering number of the clutter of minimal T-cuts. So does the clutter of minimal T-cuts necessarily pack? Consider the complete graph  $K_4$  on 4 vertices, let  $T := V(K_4)$ , and let C be its clutter of minimal T-cuts. Then C consists of the claws of  $K_4$ , and the blocker b(C) – the minimal T-joins – consists of the claws as well as the perfect matchings. So  $\tau(C) = 2$ , and as there are no disjoint claws, it follows that  $\nu(C) = 1$ , so C does not pack. Despite this shortcoming, we can prove the following result. The proof we present is due to Sebő 1987 [1].

**Theorem 8.10** (Seymour 1981 [2]). Take a bipartite graph G = (V, E), and a nonempty subset  $T \subseteq V$  of even cardinality. Then the minimum cardinality of a T-join is equal to the maximum number of disjoint T-cuts. That is, the clutter of minimal T-cuts of a bipartite graph packs.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the number of vertices of G. The base case |V| = 2 holds trivially. For the induction step, assume that  $|V| \ge 3$ . Denote by  $\tau$  the minimum cardinality of a T-join. We will construct  $\tau$  disjoint T-cuts. If  $\tau = 1$ , then we are done. We may therefore assume that  $\tau \ge 2$ . Among all minimum T-joins, pick the one J whose longest path is the longest compared to the other ones. Define weights  $w \in \{-1, 1\}^E$  as follows: for each  $e \in J$  set  $w_e := -1$ , and for each  $e \in E - J$  set  $w_e := 1$ . By Remark 8.8, G has no negative cycle, and as G is bipartite, every cycle has even weight.

Let Q be the longest path contained in J and let u, v be its ends. As Q is the longest path in J, and as G has no negative cycle, it follows that u, v each have degree 1 in J. In particular,  $u, v \in \text{odd}(J) = T$ . Let  $e^*$  be the edge of Q incident with u. Then  $J \cap \delta(u) = \{e^*\}$ .

**Claim 1.** If C is a circuit such that  $C \cap \delta(u) \neq \emptyset$  and  $e^* \notin C$ , then  $w(C) \ge 2$ .

Proof of Claim. Suppose otherwise. Since  $w(C) \ge 0$  and w(C) is even, it follows that w(C) = 0. So  $J \triangle C$  is another minimum T-join, and as Q cannot be extended to a longer path in  $J \triangle C$ , Q and C must share a vertex other than u. Among all the vertices in  $V(Q) - \{u\}$  that also belong to V(C), pick the one w that is closest to u on Q. Let Q' be the uw-path in Q; as  $e^* \notin C$ , it follows that  $Q' \neq \emptyset$  and  $Q' \cap C = \emptyset$ . Let  $P_1, P_2$  be the two uw-paths partitioning C. Since  $w(P_1) + w(P_2) = w(C) = 0$  and w(Q') < 0, it follows that one of  $P_1 \cup Q', P_2 \cup Q'$  is a negative circuit, a contradiction.

#### **Claim 2.** *u* cannot be adjacent to all the other vertices in T.

*Proof of Claim.* Suppose otherwise. In particular, u and v are adjacent, and as G has no negative cycle, Q has length 1. Since Q is the longest path in J, it follows that J is a matching, and as  $\tau \ge 2$ , the matching has an edge other than the edge of Q. Since u is adjacent to the other matched vertices, G has a triangle, a contradiction as G is bipartite.  $\Diamond$ 

Let  $(G', T') := (G, T)/\delta(u)$ . Notice that G' is still a bipartite graph, and by Claim 2,  $T' \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $J' := J - \delta(u)$ . Then J' is a T'-join of G' of length  $\tau - 1$ . In fact,

## **Claim 3.** J' is a minimum T'-join of G'.

Proof of Claim. Define weights  $w' \in \{-1, 1\}^{E(G')}$  on the edges of G' as follows: for each  $e \in J'$  set w'(e) := -1, and for each  $e \in E(G') - J'$  set w'(e) := 1. Notice that w' is simply the restriction of w to  $E - \delta(u) = E(G')$ . To prove that J' is a minimum T'-join of G', it suffices by Remark 8.8 to show that G' does not have a negative circuit. To this end, let C' be a circuit of G', and let C be a circuit of G such that  $C' \subseteq C \subseteq C' \cup \delta(u)$ . If C = C' or  $e^* \in C$ , then  $w'(C') = w(C) \ge 0$ . Otherwise,  $C \cap \delta(u) \neq \emptyset$  and  $e^* \notin C$ . It therefore follows from Claim 1 that

$$w'(C') = w(C) - 2 \ge 0,$$

as required.

Thus, by the induction hypothesis, G' has  $\tau - 1$  disjoint T-cuts; these are also disjoint T-cuts of G, and together with  $\delta(u)$ , they give  $\tau$  disjoint T-cuts in G, thereby completing the induction step. This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

# References

- [1] Sebő, A.: A quick proof of Seymour's theorem on T-joins. Discrete Math. 64, 101–103 (1987)
- [2] Seymour, P.D.: On odd cuts and plane multicommodity flows. Proc. London Math. Soc. 42(1), 178–192 (1981)

 $\Diamond$