

CO 750 Packing and Covering: Lecture 14

Ahmad Abdi

June 20, 2017

8.2 T -joins and T -cuts

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph where loops and parallel edges are allowed; however, loops are thought of as vertex-less edges. For an edge subset $J \subseteq E$, denote by $\text{odd}(J) \subseteq V$ the set of vertices incident with an odd number of edges of J – clearly $\text{odd}(J)$ has even cardinality. Notice that

$$\text{odd}(J_1) \Delta \text{odd}(J_2) = \text{odd}(J_1 \Delta J_2) \quad J_1, J_2 \subseteq E,$$

where Δ is the symmetric difference operation. A subset $C \subseteq E$ is a *cycle* if $\text{odd}(C) = \emptyset$. Observe that \emptyset and loops are cycles. A *circuit* is a non-empty cycle that does not properly contain another non-empty cycle. We leave the following as an exercise:

Remark 8.6. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, and take a non-empty subset $C \subseteq E$. The C is a cycle if, and only if, C is a disjoint union of circuits.*

We will use this basic observation without reference. Take a subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. A T -join is an edge subset $J \subseteq E$ such that $\text{odd}(J) = T$. For instance, the \emptyset -joins are precisely the cycles, and for distinct vertices $s, t \in V$, every st -path is an $\{s, t\}$ -join.

Remark 8.7. *Take a graph $G = (V, E)$, a subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality, and a T -join J . Then*

$$\{J' \subseteq E : J' \text{ is a } T\text{-join}\} = \{J \Delta C : C \text{ is a cycle}\}.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $J' \subseteq E$ is a T -join. Then $\text{odd}(J' \Delta J) = \text{odd}(J') \Delta \text{odd}(J) = T \Delta T = \emptyset$, so $J' \Delta J$ is a cycle, and as $J' = J \Delta (J' \Delta J)$, we are done. Conversely, take a cycle C . Then $\text{odd}(J \Delta C) = \text{odd}(J) \Delta \text{odd}(C) = T \Delta \emptyset = T$, so $J \Delta C$ is a T -join and we are done. \square

Given a graph and a vertex subset T of even cardinality, what is the minimum cardinality of a T -join? When $T = \emptyset$, the answer is zero as \emptyset is a T -join. We may therefore focus on non-empty T . The two remarks above provide the following partial answer to this question:

Remark 8.8 (Sebő 1987). *Take a graph $G = (V, E)$, a non-empty subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality, and a T -join J . Define weights $w \in \{-1, 1\}^E$ as follows: for each $e \in J$ set $w_e := -1$, and for each $e \in E - J$ set $w_e := 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- J is a minimum T -join,
- there is no cycle of total negative weight,
- there is no circuit of total negative weight.

The reason we are not satisfied with this answer is the lack of an optimality certificate. How can we certify that a minimum T -join is truly optimal? Well, if we treat minimal T -joins as the minimal covers of a clutter, and the clutter happened to pack, then any maximum packing would give an optimality certificate.

Take a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a *non-empty* subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. A T -cut is a cut of the form $\delta(U) \subseteq E$ where $|U \cap T|$ is odd. For instance, for distinct vertices s, t of G , an st -cut is an $\{s, t\}$ -cut.

Proposition 8.9. *Take a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a non-empty subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. Let \mathcal{C} be the clutter of minimal T -joins over ground set E . Then $b(\mathcal{C})$ is the clutter of minimal T -cuts.*

Proof. We need to show that (a) every T -cut is a cover of \mathcal{C} , and (b) every cover of \mathcal{C} contains a T -cut. **(a)** Take a T -cut $\delta(U)$. We need to show that $\delta(U)$ intersects every T -join. Suppose otherwise. Take a T -join J such that $J \cap \delta(U) = \emptyset$. Then the odd-degree vertices of $J \cap E(G[U])$ are precisely $T \cap U$, a contradiction as $|T \cap U|$ is odd. **(b)** Conversely, let $B \subseteq E$ be a cover of \mathcal{C} . Then the graph $H := G \setminus B$ does not contain a T -join. To prove that B contains a T -cut of G , it suffices to argue why H has an empty T -cut. To this end, let A be the vertex-edge incidence matrix of H , and let $b \in \{0, 1\}^V$ be the incidence vector of $T \subseteq V$. (So the loops of H are the zero columns of A .) Since H has no T -join, it follows that the system

$$Ax \equiv b \pmod{2}$$

has no 0–1 solution. By Farkas' lemma for binary spaces, there is a certificate $c \in \{0, 1\}^V$ such that

$$c^\top A \equiv \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad c^\top b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Pick $U \subseteq V$ such that $c = \chi_U$. The second equation implies that $|U \cap T|$ is odd, while the first equation implies that $\delta(U)$ is an empty cut of H , so $\delta(U)$ is an empty T -cut of H , as required. \square

Let's see what minors of the clutter of minimal T -joins correspond to in terms of the graph. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and take a possibly empty subset $T \subseteq V$ of even cardinality. Let \mathcal{C} be the clutter of minimal T -joins over ground set E . Take an edge $e \in E$. The *deletion* $(G, T) \setminus e$ is the pair $(G \setminus e, T)$. It is clear that the minimal T -joins of $(G, T) \setminus e$ are the members of $\mathcal{C} \setminus e$. The *contraction* $(G, T)/e$ is the pair $(G/e, T')$ where ¹

$$T' = \begin{cases} T - e & \text{if } |e \cap T| \text{ is even} \\ (T - e) \cup \{\text{shrunk vertex}\} & \text{if } |e \cap T| \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that T' is a set of even cardinality. Notice that if J is a T -join of G , then $J - \{e\}$ is a T' -join of G/e . Conversely, if J' is a T' -join of G/e , then $J' \cup \{e\}$ contains a T -join of G . Hence, the minimal T' -joins of

¹In this setting, to contract a loop is to delete it.

$(G, T)/e$ are the members of \mathcal{C}/e . For disjoint subsets $I, J \subseteq E$, the *minor* $(G, T) \setminus I/J$ is what is obtained after deleting I and contracting J . Notice that the minimal T' -joins of $(G \setminus I/J, T') := (G, T) \setminus I/J$ are the members of $\mathcal{C} \setminus I/J$.

Let's get back to our question regarding minimum T -joins and certifying their optimality by looking at the blocker of minimal T -joins: does the clutter of minimal T -cuts necessarily pack? Consider the complete graph K_4 on 4 vertices, let $T := V(K_4)$, and let \mathcal{C} be its clutter of minimal T -cuts. Then \mathcal{C} consists of the claws of K_4 , and the blocker $b(\mathcal{C})$ – the minimal T -joins – consists of the claws as well as the perfect matchings. So $\tau(\mathcal{C}) = 2$, and as there are no disjoint claws, it follows that $\nu(\mathcal{C}) = 1$, so \mathcal{C} does not pack. Despite this shortcoming, we will show next time that the clutter of minimal T -cuts of a *bipartite* graph packs.