## CO 750 Packing and Covering: Lecture 16

Ahmad Abdi

June 27, 2017

## 8.3 Testing idealness is co-NP-complete.

We saw two rich classes of ideal clutters, namely the clutter of dicuts of a digraph and the clutter of T-joins of a graph. This suggests that studying general ideal clutters is more complicated than perfect clutters. Indeed, this is confirmed by a negative complexity result on detecting idealness that we will mention here. Let A be a 0 - 1 matrix. Consider the following problem:

Is A an ideal matrix?

This is a co-NP problem: to certify that A is non-ideal, all we need is a fractional point  $x^* \in Q(A) = \{x \ge \mathbf{0} : Ax \ge \mathbf{1}\}$  along with a full-rank row subsystem  $A'x \ge b'$  of  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ I \end{pmatrix} x \ge \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $A'x^* = b'$ . In fact, as the following result claims, this problem is one of the most difficut problems in the co-NP class:

**Theorem 8.15** (Ding, Feng, Zang 2008). Let A be a 0 - 1 matrix, where every column has exactly two 1s. Then the problem

Is A an ideal matrix?

is co-NP-complete.

In other words, given a general 0-1 matrix that is a priori ideal, we cannot convince an adversary in polynomial time that A is indeed an ideal matrix, unless P and co-NP are equal. This means that unlike perfect clutters, ideal clutters do not admit a polynomial characterization in this model. (The authors above proved that "Is A a Mengerian matrix?" is a also co-NP-complete problem.) Let us study ideal clutters from a different angle.

## 9 Minimally non-ideal clutters

By Remark 7.11, we know that if a clutter is ideal, then so is any minor of it. In other words, the class of ideal clutters is minor-closed. As a result, we may indirectly study the class by characterizing the excluded minors defining the class. We say that a clutter is *minimally non-ideal (mni)* if it is non-ideal, and every proper minor of it is ideal. It follows from Remark 7.11 and Theorem 7.8 that,

Remark 9.1. The following statements hold:

- a non-ideal clutter is minimally non-ideal if every single deletion and contraction minor is ideal,
- a clutter is ideal if, and only if, it has no minimally non-ideal minor,
- if a clutter is minimally non-ideal, then so is its blocker.

As we will see, mni clutters split into two classes that behave quite differently from one another. We will study each class independently.

## 9.1 The deltas

Given a clutter C, we may obtain another clutter C' by relabeling the elements of C. We will say that C, C' are *isomorphic* and write  $C \cong C'$ . Take an integer  $n \ge 3$ . Consider the clutter over ground set  $[n] := \{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$  whose members are

$$\Delta_n := \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\ldots,\{1,n\},\{2,3,\ldots,n\}\}$$

and whose incidence matrix is

$$M(\Delta_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & & 1 & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 1 & & & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We refer to  $\Delta_n$ , and any clutter isomorphic to it, as a *delta of dimension* n. Notice that the elements and members of  $\Delta_n$  correspond to the points and lines of a degenerate projective plane.<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 9.2.** Take an integer  $n \ge 3$ . Then,

(1) 
$$b(\Delta_n) = \Delta_n$$

- (2)  $\min\{\mathbf{1}^{\top}x: M(\Delta_n)x \geq \mathbf{1}\}\$  has no integral optimal solution, and
- (3)  $\Delta_n$  is minimally non-ideal.

*Proof.* (1) As  $\Delta_n$  does not have disjoint members, every member is also a cover, so every member of  $\Delta_n$  contains a member of  $b(\Delta_n)$ . Conversely, let B be a minimal cover of  $\Delta_n$ . If  $1 \notin B$ , then as B intersects each one of  $\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \ldots, \{1, n\},$  it follows that  $\{2, 3, \ldots, n\} \subseteq B$ . If  $1 \in B$ , then as B intersects  $\{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ , it follows that  $\{1, i\} \subseteq B$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ . In both cases, we see that B contains a member, so every member of  $b(\Delta_n)$  contains a member of  $\Delta_n$ . It therefore follows from Remark 6.6 that  $b(\Delta_n) = \Delta_n$ . (2) In particular,  $\tau(\mathcal{C}) = 2$ . Consider now the fractional feasible solution  $x^* := \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}, \cdots, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$ . The objective

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the literature, a delta of dimension n is called a degenerate projective plane of order n - 1. However, as there are other degenerate projective planes, we refrain from using this terminology.

value of this solution is  $1 + \frac{n-2}{n-1} < 2 = \tau(\mathcal{C})$ , so (2) holds. (3) It follows from (2) that  $\Delta_n$  is non-ideal. To prove that  $\Delta_n$  is mni, we need to show for each  $e \in [n]$  that  $\Delta_n \setminus e$  and  $\Delta_n/e$  are ideal clutters. In fact, since

$$\Delta_n \setminus e = b(b(\Delta_n \setminus e)) = b(b(\Delta_n)/e) = b(\Delta_n/e)$$

by (1), it suffices by Theorem 7.8 to show that one of  $\Delta_n \setminus e, \Delta_n/e$  is ideal. By the symmetry between the elements  $2, 3, \ldots, n$ , we may assume that  $e \in \{1, n\}$ . Observe that

$$\Delta_n \setminus 1 = \{\{2, 3, \dots, n\}\}$$

and

$$\Delta_n/n = \{\{1\}, \{2, \dots, n-1\}\}.$$

We leave it as an exercise for the reader to see that these clutters are indeed ideal. Thus,  $\Delta_n$  is mni.

The deltas form an important class of mni clutters that is tractable in the sense that it is easy to see whether a clutter has a delta minor or not. To see why, we need the following result:

**Theorem 9.3** (Abdi, Cornuéjols, Pashkovich 2017). Take a clutter C over ground set E and an element  $e \in E$ . If there are distinct members  $C_1, C_2, C$  such that  $e \in C_1 \cap C_2$ ,  $e \notin C$  and  $(C_1 \cup C_2) - \{e\} \subseteq C$ , then C has a delta minor that can be found in time O(|E||C|).

*Proof.* Let us call  $(C_1, C_2, C)$  a *bad triple through* e. We may assume that in every proper minor of C where e is present, no bad triple through e exists. We will prove that C itself is a delta. The minimality assumption implies that

(1) 
$$C_1 \cap C_2 = \{e\}$$

because for  $I := (C_1 \cap C_2) - \{e\}$ , the minor C/I has the bad triple  $(C_1 - I, C_2 - I, C - I)$  through e.

The minimality assumption also implies that

$$(2) \{e\} \cup C = E,$$

because for  $J := E - (\{e\} \cup C), C \setminus J$  has the same bad triple  $(C_1, C_2, C)$  through e.

Next we claim that

(3) 
$$|C_1| = |C_2| = 2.$$

To see this, suppose for a contradiction that one of  $C_1, C_2$ , say  $C_1$ , has cardinality at least 3. Pick an element  $h \in C_1 - \{e\}$ , and note that by (1),  $h \notin C_2$ . Consider the minor  $\mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C}/h$ , for which  $C'_1 := C_1 - \{h\}$  and  $C' := C - \{h\}$  are still members. Notice that  $C_2$  contains a member  $C'_2$  of  $\mathcal{C}'$ , for which it is easy to see that  $e \in C'_2$  and  $C'_2 \neq \{e\}$ . But now  $\mathcal{C}'$  has a bad triple  $(C'_1, C'_2, C')$  through e, a contradiction to our minimality assumption. This proves (3).

Now let  $X := \{f \in E : \{e, f\} \text{ is a member}\}$ . So  $|X| \ge 2$  by (3), and  $X \subseteq C$  by (2). Our last claim is that

(4) X = C.

For if not, pick an element  $h \in C - X$ , and note that C/h has a bad triple  $(C_1, C_2, C - \{h\})$  through e, contradicting the minimality assumption. Thus, X = C. Hence,

$$\mathcal{C} \supseteq \{\{e, f\} : f \in C\} \cup \{C\}.$$

Since  $\{e\} \cup C = E$  by (2), and C is a clutter, equality must hold above, implying in turn that C indeed is a delta, as required.

We will use this in the next lecture to give a polynomial time algorithm for certifying whether or not a clutter has a delta minor.