

CO 750 Packing and Covering: Lecture 18

Ahmad Abdi

July 4, 2017

9.2 The minimally non-ideal clutters different from the deltas, continued

Take an integer $n \geq 2$, and let A be an $n \times n$ matrix with 0–1 entries and without a row or a column of all ones. Recall that A is cross regular if whenever $A_{ij} = 0$, the number of ones in column j is equal to the number of ones in row i . Last time, we proved the following lemma:

Lemma 9.9 (Lehman 1990). *The following statements hold:*

- (1) *Take an integer $n \geq 2$, and let A be a 0–1 $n \times n$ matrix without a row or a column of all ones, and whenever $A_{ij} = 0$, the number of ones in column j is greater than or equal to the number of ones in row i . Then A is cross regular.*
- (2) *Cross regular matrices cannot differ in just one row.*

We are now ready to prove the following geometric characterization of the mni clutters different from the deltas:

Theorem 9.10 (Lehman 1990). *Let \mathcal{C} be a minimally non-ideal clutter over ground set E that is not a delta, and let $n := |E|$. Let x^* be a fractional extreme point of $\{\mathbf{1} \geq x \geq \mathbf{0} : M(\mathcal{C})x \geq \mathbf{1}\}$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $\mathbf{0} < x^* < \mathbf{1}$,
- (2) x^* lies on exactly n facets, that correspond to members $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{C}$ – so x^* is a simple vertex,
- (3) the n neighbors of x^* are integral vertices, that correspond to covers B_1, \dots, B_n labeled so that for distinct $i, j \in [n]$, $|C_i \cap B_i| > 1$ and $|C_i \cap B_j| = 1$,
- (4) B_1, \dots, B_n are minimal covers,
- (5) C_1, \dots, C_n are precisely the minimum cardinality members of \mathcal{C} ,
- (6) x^* is the unique fractional extreme point of $\{\mathbf{1} \geq x \geq \mathbf{0} : M(\mathcal{C})x \geq \mathbf{1}\}$,
- (7) there is an integer $d \geq 1$ such that for each $i \in [n]$, $|C_i \cap B_i| = 1 + d$.

In particular, x^* is the unique fractional extreme point of $\{x \geq \mathbf{0} : M(\mathcal{C})x \geq \mathbf{1}\}$.

Proof. Let $P := P(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathbf{1} \geq x \geq \mathbf{0} : M(\mathcal{C})x \geq \mathbf{1}\}$. Then for each element $e \in E$, the clutters $\mathcal{C}/e, \mathcal{C} \setminus e$ are ideal, so the polytopes $P \cap \{x : x_e = 0\}$ and $P \cap \{x : x_e = 1\}$ are integral by Remark 9.8, implying in turn for each fractional extreme point x^* that $0 < x_e^* < 1$, so **(1)** holds. (The fact that \mathcal{C} is different from a delta will be first used in Claim 4.)

Claim 1. *Let x^* be a fractional extreme point of P , and let A be an $n \times n$ non-singular submatrix of $M(\mathcal{C})$ such that $Ax^* = \mathbf{1}$. Then A is cross regular.*

Proof of Claim. Clearly, A has no all ones row, and since x^* is the unique solution to $Ax^* = \mathbf{1}$, A has no all ones column either. To prove that A is cross regular, assume that $A_{11} = 0$. Let C be the member corresponding to the first row of A . By Lemma 9.9 (1), it suffices to show that the number of ones in the first column is greater than or equal to $|C|$. To this end, let $\hat{x} := (1, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) \in P \cap \{x : x_1 = 1\}$. Let F be the smallest face of the polytope $P \cap \{x : x_1 = 1\}$ containing \hat{x} . Notice that $a^\top \hat{x} = 1$ for every row a of A whose first entry is 0. As these rows are linearly independent, and as $\hat{x}_1 = 1$, it follows that

$$\dim(F) \leq n - \text{number of 0s in the first column} - 1 = \text{number of 1s in the first column} - 1.$$

On the other hand, as $P \cap \{x : x_1 = 1\}$ is an integral polytope, F is also an integral polytope, so

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \chi_{B_i}$$

for some extreme points $\chi_{B_1}, \dots, \chi_{B_k}$ of F and some $\lambda > \mathbf{0}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$. Notice for each $i \in [k]$ that B_i is a cover, and as $\hat{x}(C) = 1$, we get that $|B_i \cap C| = 1$. Since $\hat{x} > \mathbf{0}$, each element of C appears in at least one B_i , so the matrix whose rows are the χ_{B_i} 's has rank at least $|C|$, implying in turn that the affine dimension of the χ_{B_i} 's is at least $|C| - 1$. As a result,

$$\dim(F) \geq |C| - 1.$$

Putting the last two inequalities gives the desired inequality, as desired. \diamond

Claim 2. *Every fractional extreme point of P is simple, that is, it lies on exactly n facets. Thus, **(2)** holds.*

Proof of Claim. Suppose for a contradiction that P has a non-simple fractional extreme point x^* . Let A be an $n \times n$ non-singular submatrix of $M(\mathcal{C})$ such that $Ax^* = \mathbf{1}$. As x^* is non-simple, there is another row a' of $M(\mathcal{C})$ such that $a'^\top x^* = 1$. Pick a row a of A such that the matrix A' obtained by replacing a and a' is non-singular. (To find a , write a' as a linear combination of the rows of A , and pick the row a whose coefficient is non-zero.) Then by Claim 1, both A and A' are cross regular, a contradiction to Lemma 9.9 (2) as A and A' differ in exactly one row. \diamond

Claim 3. *P does not have neighboring fractional extreme points. Thus, **(3)** holds.*

Proof of Claim. Suppose for a contradiction that P has neighboring fractional extreme points x^*, y^* . Then there are $n \times n$ non-singular submatrices A, B of $M(\mathcal{C})$ that differ in exactly one row such that $Ax^* = \mathbf{1} = By^*$. By Claim 1, both A and B are cross regular, a contradiction to Lemma 9.9 (2). \diamond

Now pick a fractional extreme point x^* of P . By Claims 2 and 3, x^* lies on n facets and has precisely n neighbors, all of which are integral. Let $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{C}$ be the members corresponding to the facets x^* sits on, and let B_1, \dots, B_n be the covers corresponding to the neighbors of x^* , where our labeling satisfies for $i, j \in [n]$ the following:

$$|C_i \cap B_j| \begin{cases} > 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ = 1 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Let A (resp. B) be the $0-1$ matrix whose columns are labeled by E and whose rows are the incidence vectors of C_1, \dots, C_n (resp. B_1, \dots, B_n). Then the equations above imply that

$$AB^\top = J + \text{diag}(|C_1 \cap B_1| - 1, \dots, |C_n \cap B_n| - 1).$$

In particular, AB^\top is non-singular, implying in turn that B is non-singular. Moreover, by Claim 1, A is cross regular. Let G be the bipartite representation of A , where column e and row C are adjacent if $e \notin C$. Since A is cross regular, it follows that adjacent vertices of G have the same degree. In particular, every component of G is regular and so it has the same number of vertices in each bipartition.

Claim 4. G is connected.

Proof of Claim. Suppose for a contradiction that G is not connected. Then there exist a partition of the rows of A into non-empty parts X_1, X_2 and a partition of the columns of A into non-empty parts $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq E$ such that $|X_1| = |Y_1|$, $|X_2| = |Y_2|$, and the (X_2, Y_1) and (X_1, Y_2) blocks of A are submatrices of all ones. If $|Y_1| = 1$ or $|Y_2| = 1$, then A has a row with $n-1$ ones, so \mathcal{C} has a delta minor by Theorem 9.3, implying in turn by minimality that \mathcal{C} is a delta, a contradiction as \mathcal{C} is not a delta. Otherwise, $|X_1| = |Y_1| \geq 2$ and $|X_2| = |Y_2| \geq 2$. As a result, for each $i \in [n]$, $|B_i \cap Y_1| = |B_i \cap Y_2| = 1$, implying in turn that the columns of B corresponding to Y_1 have the same sum as the columns of B corresponding to Y_2 , a contradiction as B is non-singular. \diamond

In particular, G is a regular graph, implying in turn that for some integer $r \geq 2$, every row and every column of A has exactly r ones – this has two consequences. Firstly, each B_i is a minimal cover. For if not, then $B_i - \{e\}$ is a cover for some $e \in B_i$, implying in turn that column e of A has at least $n-1$ zero entries, implying in turn that $r \leq 1$, which is not the case. Thus (4) holds. Secondly, since A is non-singular, it follows that $x^* = (\frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{r} \dots \frac{1}{r})$. As a result, as $x^* \in P$, every row of $M(\mathcal{C})$ has at least r ones, and as x^* is simple, every row of $M(\mathcal{C})$ not in A has at least $r+1$ ones, so (5) holds. In particular, we cannot run this argument for another fractional extreme point, so x^* is the unique fractional extreme point of P , so (6) holds. Finally, for each $i \in [n]$, let $d_i := |C_i \cap B_i| - 1 \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$, and let $D := \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Then

$$(n + d_1, n + d_2, \dots, n + d_n) = \mathbf{1}^\top (J + D) = \mathbf{1}^\top (AB^\top) = (\mathbf{1}^\top A)B^\top = r \cdot (B\mathbf{1})^\top.$$

Since there is at most one multiple of r in $\{n + 1, \dots, n + r - 1\}$, it follows that $d := d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n$, implying in turn that (7) holds, thereby finishing the proof. \square

We will use this next time to prove a combinatorial characterization of the mni clutters different from the deltas.