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### 4.2 Integral polyhedra associated with balanced matrices

Take a $0-1$ matrix $A$ and the polytope

$$
P(A)=\{\mathbf{1} \geq x \geq \mathbf{0}: A x=\mathbf{1}\}
$$

Recall from the last lecture that for each column label $e$,

$$
P(A) \cap\left\{x: x_{e}=0\right\}=P\left(A^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad P(A) \cap\left\{x: x_{e}=1\right\}=P\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ are appropriate submatrices of $A$.
Proposition 4.4. Let $A$ be a balanced matrix. Then the polytope $P(A)$ is integral.
Proof. Suppose otherwise. Let $E$ be the column labels of $A$. We may assume that $P(A)$ is not integral, but for every proper submatrix $A^{\prime}$ of $A, P\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ is integral. In particular, for every $e \in E$, the two polytopes

$$
P(A) \cap\left\{x: x_{e}=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad P(A) \cap\left\{x: x_{e}=1\right\}
$$

are integral. Let $x^{\star}$ be a fractional extreme point of $P(A)$. Since the polytopes above are integral, it follows that $\mathbf{1}>x^{\star}>\mathbf{0}$. Our minimality assumption implies that $A$ is a square non-singular matrix.

Claim. Every row of $A$ has exactly two ones.
Proof of Claim. By our minimal choice, every row of $A$ has at least two ones. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the matrix obtained from $A$ after removing the first row. Since $P\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ is integral and $x^{\star} \in P\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, it follows that $x^{\star}$ lies on an edge of $P\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. So for some vertices $\chi_{S}, \chi_{T} \in P\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$,

$$
x^{\star}=\lambda \chi_{S}+(1-\lambda) \chi_{T} .
$$

Since $1>x^{\star}>\mathbf{0}$, it follows that $S \cap T=\emptyset$ and $S \cup T=E$. Since $A^{\prime} \chi_{S}=\mathbf{1}=A^{\prime} \chi_{T}$, every row of $A$ other than the first row has exactly two ones. A similar argument applied to the second row implies that even the first row has exactly two ones.

Since $A$ is balanced, it is the incidence edge-vertex incidence matrix of a bipartite graph $G$. As $A$ is a square matrix, $G$ has an even cycle, which in turn contradicts the non-singularity of $A$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.4.

Theorem 4.5 (Fulkerson, Hoffman, Oppenheim 1974). Let $\left(\begin{array}{l}A \\ B \\ C\end{array}\right)$ be a balanced matrix. Then the polyhedron

$$
P=\{x \geq \mathbf{0}: A x \geq \mathbf{1}, B x \leq \mathbf{1}, C x=\mathbf{1}\}
$$

is integral. In particular, the set packing polytope and the set covering polyhedron corresponding to a balanced matrix are both integral.

Proof. Let $x^{\star}$ be an extreme point of $P$. Observe that $x^{\star} \leq 1$, and that $x^{\star}$ is also an extreme point of the polytope $\{\mathbf{1} \geq x \geq \mathbf{0}: D x=\mathbf{1}\}$, where $D$ is the row submatrix of $\left(\begin{array}{l}A \\ B \\ C\end{array}\right)$ corresponding to the constraints of $A x \geq 1, B x \leq 1, C x=1$ that are tight at $x^{\star}$. Since $\left(\begin{array}{l}A \\ B \\ C\end{array}\right)$ is balanced, so is $D$, so by Proposition $4.4, x^{\star}$ is integral, as required.

In fact, the linear system above is totally dual integral. We will prove a similar result in the next section.

### 4.3 Hall's theorem for balanced hypergraphs

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a hypergraph. A matching is a packing of pairwise disjoint edges. A perfect matching is a matching that uses every vertex. Recall Hall's condition for the existence of perfect matchings in bipartite graphs:

Theorem 4.6 (Hall 1935). Let $G$ be a bipartite graph. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- G has no perfect matching,
- there exist disjoint vertex sets $R, B$ such that $|R|>|B|$ and every edge with an end in $R$ has an end in $B$.

We will see a generalization of this to balanced hypergraphs. We will need two lemmas.
Lemma 4.7. Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ balanced matrix. Then the polyhedron

$$
P=\{x, s, t \geq \mathbf{0}: A x+I s-I t=\mathbf{1}\}
$$

is integral.

Proof. Denote by $a_{i}$ the $i$ th row of $A$, for each $i \in[m]$. Take an extreme point $\left(x^{\star}, s^{\star}, t^{\star}\right)$ of $P$. Since the corresponding columns are linearly dependent, we see that $s_{i}^{\star} t_{i}^{\star}=0$ for each $i \in[m]$. As a result, $x^{\star}$ is also an extreme point of the polyhedron

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i}^{\top} x \leq 1 & \forall i \in[m] \text { s.t. } s_{i}^{\star}>0 \\
x \geq \mathbf{0}: & a_{i}^{\top} x \geq 1 \quad \forall i \in[m] \text { s.t. } t_{i}^{\star}>0 \\
& a_{i}^{\top} x=1 \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

By Theorem 4.5, this polyhedron is integral, implying in turn that $x^{\star}$ is integral. This easily implies that $\left(x^{\star}, s^{\star}, t^{\star}\right)$ is also integral, thereby finishing the proof.

Lemma 4.8. Let $A$ be a balanced matrix. Then the linear system $x, s, t \geq \mathbf{0}, A x+I s-I t=\mathbf{1}$ is totally dual integral.

Proof. We prove this by induction on the number of rows of $A$. The base case is obvious. For the induction step, consider for integral weights $b, c, d$ the primal program

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(P) \quad \text { s.t. } & A x+I s-I t=\mathbf{1} \\
& x, s, t \geq \mathbf{0}
\end{array}
$$

and the dual

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& \text { min } & \mathbf{1}^{\top} y \\
\text { (D) } & \text { s.t. } & A^{\top} y
\end{array} \geq b
$$

We will construct an integral optimal solution to (D). To this end, take an optimal solution $\bar{y}$ to (D). If $\bar{y}$ is integral, we are done. Otherwise, we may assume that $\bar{y}_{1}$ is fractional. Write $\bar{y}=\left(\bar{y}_{1}, \bar{z}\right)$. Let $a$ be the first row of $A$, and let $A^{\prime}$ (resp. $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ ) be the matrix (resp. vector) obtained from $A$ (resp. $c, d$ ) after removing the first row. Consider the program

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\min & \mathbf{1}^{\top} z & \\
\text { s.t. } & A^{\prime \top} z & \geq b-\left\lceil\bar{y}_{1}\right\rceil a  \tag{1}\\
& z & \geq c^{\prime} \\
& -z & \geq d^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Since $\bar{y}=\left(\bar{y}_{1}, \bar{z}\right)$ is feasible for (D), we get that $\bar{z}$ is feasible for ( $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ ). Our induction hypothesis implies that ( $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ ) has an integral optimal solution $z^{\star}$. In particular,

$$
\mathbf{1}^{\top} \bar{z} \geq \mathbf{1}^{\top} z^{\star}
$$

As $z^{\star}$ is feasible for ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ), and $c, d$ are integral, it follows that $\left(\left\lceil\bar{y}_{1}\right\rceil, z^{\star}\right)$ is feasible for (D), so

$$
\left\lceil\bar{y}_{1}\right\rceil+\mathbf{1}^{\top} z^{\star} \geq \mathbf{1}^{\top} \bar{y}=\bar{y}_{1}+\mathbf{1}^{\top} \bar{z}
$$

Combining the preceding two inequalities yields

$$
\left\lceil\bar{y}_{1}\right\rceil+\mathbf{1}^{\top} z^{\star} \geq \mathbf{1}^{\top} \bar{y} \geq \bar{y}_{1}+\mathbf{1}^{\top} z^{\star}
$$

By Lemma 4.7, ( P ) has an integral optimal solution, so as $b, c, d$ are integral, ( P ) has an integer optimal value. Thus, by LP Strong Duality, $\mathbf{1}^{\top} \bar{y}$ is an integer. Hence, the inequalities above imply that $\left\lceil\bar{y}_{1}\right\rceil+\mathbf{1}^{\top} z^{\star}=\mathbf{1}^{\top} \bar{y}$, so $\left(\left\lceil\bar{y}_{1}\right\rceil, z^{\star}\right)$ is an integral optimal solution for $(\mathrm{D})$, as required. This completes the induction step.

