MA431 Spectral Graph Theory: Lecture 0

Ahmad Abdi Neil Olver

January 13, 2022

Linear Algebra: A Brief Review

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over the complex numbers. The *characteristic polynomial of* A is $p_A(x) := \det(xI - A)$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Notice that $p_A(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n, and that its distinct roots are precisely the distinct eigenvalues of A.

The algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is the largest integer d such that $(x - \lambda)^d$ is a factor of $p_A(x)$. As a consequence, the sum of the algebraic multiplicities of the distinct eigenvalues of A is equal to n. The geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is the dimension of its eigenspace. It is known that the geometric multiplicity is always less than or equal to the algebraic multiplicity.

Two $n \times n$ matrices A, B are *similar* if for an invertible matrix P, we have $A = P^{-1}BP$. It is known that similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial.

Suppose A is a real symmetric matrix, an assumption that is often made in this course. Then the eigenvalues of A are real numbers (this is a nice exercise). Moreover, A is diagonalizable, that is, it is similar to a diagonal matrix D (this follows from the theorem below). In this case, the geometric and algebraic multiplicities of every eigenvalue coincide, so we may speak of *the* multiplicity of an eigenvalue. Moreover, the diagonal entries of D are the eigenvalues of A, repeated according to their multiplicity.

Theorem 0.1 (Spectral Decomposition Theorem). Let A be an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix. Then the following statements hold:

1. There exists an orthonormal basis $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that each u_i is an eigenvector for A.

For each *i*, let λ_i be the eigenvalue corresponding to u_i . Let *D* be the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Define the $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix $P := [u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n]$. Then

2. $A = PDP^{-1} = PDP^{\top}$. That is,

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\top}.$$

For each eigenvalue λ of A, let $E_{\lambda} := \sum (u_i u_i^{\top} : \lambda_i = \lambda)$. Then

3. E_{λ} is the matrix of projection onto the λ -eigenspace. In particular, $E_{\lambda}^2 = E_{\lambda}$.

4. Given that ev(A) denotes the set of distinct eigenvalues of A, we have the following:

$$I = \sum_{\lambda \in ev(A)} E_{\lambda},$$
$$A = \sum_{\lambda \in ev(A)} \lambda E_{\lambda}.$$

Proof. Exercise.

As a consequence, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 0.2 (Courant-Fischer Theorem). Let A be an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$. Then the following statements hold:

1. $\min\{x^{\top}Ax : x^{\top}x = 1\} = \lambda_n$. Moreover, equality is achieved only by vectors in the λ_n -eigenspace.

2. $\max\{x^{\top}Ax : x^{\top}x = 1\} = \lambda_1$. Moreover, equality is achieved only by vectors in the λ_1 -eigenspace.

Let u_1, \ldots, u_n be an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, respectively. For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, let U_j be the subspace spanned by u_1, \ldots, u_j . Then we have the following *Rayleigh* equalities:

- 3. $\min\{x^{\top}Ax : x^{\top}x = 1, x \in U_j\} = \lambda_j$. Moreover, equality is achieved only by vectors in the λ_j -eigenspace.
- 4. $\max\{x^{\top}Ax : x^{\top}x = 1, x \in U_j^{\perp}\} = \lambda_{j+1}$. Moreover, equality is achieved only by vectors in the λ_{j+1} -eigenspace.

Moreover, for any subspace U of dimension $j \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$, we have the following *Rayleigh inequalities*:

- 5. $\min\{x^{\top}Ax : x^{\top}x = 1, x \in U\} \le \lambda_j$. Moreover, if equality holds, then U contains an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ_j .
- 6. $\max\{x^{\top}Ax : x^{\top}x = 1, x \in U^{\perp}\} \ge \lambda_{j+1}$. Moreover, if equality holds, then U^{\perp} contains an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ_{j+1} .

Proof. Exercise.

There is a subtle difference between (3)-(4) and (5)-(6). Notice that in (3)-(4), we have a characterization of when equality holds, whereas in (5)-(6), we do not. The reason for this difference is made clear when one proves the statements; we invite the reader to do so.

Let us present one final application of the Spectral Decomposition Theorem. A real symmetric matrix A is *positive semidefinite (PSD)*, denoted as $A \succeq \mathbf{0}$, if $x^{\top}Ax \ge 0$ for all vectors x, and it is *positive definite* if $x^{\top}Ax > 0$ for all nonzero vectors x. We have the following characterization of PSD matrices:

Theorem 0.3 (Characterization of PSD Matrices). Let A be an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. A is positive semidefinite (resp. positive definite),
- 2. every eigenvalue of A is nonnegative (resp. strictly positive),
- 3. $A = B^{\top}B$ for a real matrix B (resp. nonsingular real matrix B).

Proof. Exercise.

Given real symmetric matrices A, B of the same dimension, we write $A \succeq B$ if A - B is a positive semidefinite matrix. The relation \succeq defines a partial order, called the *Loewner order*, on the space of symmetric matrices, that is, the following three properties are satisfied (the proof of which is left as an exercise):

- $A \succcurlyeq A$ (reflexivity),
- if $A \succcurlyeq B$ and $B \succcurlyeq A$, then A = B (antisymmetry),
- if $A \succcurlyeq B$ and $B \succcurlyeq C$, then $A \succcurlyeq C$ (transitivity).

The pseudoinverse. The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse is a generalization of the inverse to all matrices.

Theorem 0.4. Let A be an $m \times n$ real matrix. Then there is a unique $n \times m$ matrix A^+ , called the pseudoinverse of A, satisfying the following:

- (i) $AA^+A = A$ and $A^+AA^+ = A^+$;
- (ii) AA^+ and A^+A are symmetric.

Further, A^+ satisfies the following.

- 1. If A is square and invertible, then $A^+ = A^{-1}$.
- 2. $(A^+)^+ = A$.
- 3. AA^+ is the orthogonal projection onto the range of A, and A^+A is the orthogonal projection onto the range of A^T .

We will primarily be interested in square symmetric matrices, in which case we have a more straightforward interpretation. Consider the diagonalization $A = PDP^{\top}$ of a real symmetric matrix A, where D is diagonal and P orthogonal. Then we must have that $A^+ = PD^+P^{\top}$ (you can easily check that this satisfies the requirements to be the pseudoinverse of A; for example, $AA^+A = PDD^+DP^{\top} = PDP^{\top} = A$). But the pseudoinverse of D is straightforward to see: it is diagonal, with $D_{ii}^+ = 0$ if $D_{ii} = 0$, and $D_{ii}^+ = 1/D_{ii}$ otherwise. Again, you can easily check that this indeed satisfies the requirements to be the pseudoinverse of D. Hence we have the following. **Lemma 0.5.** If A is a symmetric $n \times n$ real matrix, with spectral decomposition $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\top}$ (with $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors), then

$$A^+ = \sum_{i:\lambda_i \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^\top.$$

Geometrically, we can view the pseudoinverse in the symmetric case as follows. View A as a self-adjoint operator from $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Let W be the range of A. Note that W is an A-invariant subspace, and furthermore, the map $A': W \to W$ obtained by restricting to W is a bijection (this is a consequence of the self-adjointness of A). Consider the map obtained by first projecting orthogonally onto W, and then applying the inverse of A'(viewing the result as a vector in the ambient space \mathbb{R}^n). This is precisely the pseudoinverse.

(A similar geometric construction applies for general linear operators, but it's a bit more complicated. It is no longer true that A is a bijection from W to W. Instead, one defines A_x^+ to be the minimum norm point y such that Ay is equal to the orthogonal projection of x onto W.)

Exercises

1. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Recall that $p_A(x) = \det(xI - A)$. Choose $\sigma_0(A), \sigma_1(A), \ldots, \sigma_n(A)$ such that

$$p_A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \sigma_k(A) x^{n-k}.$$

Prove the following statements for each k:

 (a) σ_k(A) is the sum of the product of any k eigenvalues, counted according to their algebraic multiplicity. That is, if λ₁,..., λ_n are the n eigenvalues of A, repeated according to the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalues, then

$$\sigma_k(A) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| = k} \prod_{i \in S} \lambda_i.$$

(b) $\sigma_k(A)$ is the sum of the determinants of all principal $k \times k$ submatrices. That is,

$$\sigma_k(A) = \sum (\det(B) : B \text{ is a } k \times k \text{ principal submatrix of } A).$$

- 2. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Prove that the trace of A is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues, respecting their algebraic multiplicities.
- 3. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, and take an integer $\ell \ge 1$. Prove that the eigenvalues of A^{ℓ} are precisely the eigenvalues of A raised to the power ℓ , preserving algebraic multiplicities.
- 4. Let A be an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix. A subspace $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is A-invariant if $Ax \in U$ for all $x \in U$.
 - (a) Prove that if U is A-invariant, then so is U^{\perp} .
 - (b) Prove that any A-invariant subspace of dimension at least one contains an eigenvector of A.

- (c) Prove that for any integer $1 \le m < n$, any orthogonal set of m eigenvectors can be extended to orthogonal set of m + 1 eigenvectors.
- (d) Prove Theorem 0.1.
- 5. Prove Theorem 0.2 parts (1), (3) and (5). Then apply those parts to -A to prove parts (2), (4) and (6).
- 6. Let A be an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix, and denote by ev(A) the set of distinct eigenvalues of A. Prove that for any polynomial p,

$$p(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in ev(A)} p(\lambda) E_{\lambda}.$$

Then prove that the vector space of all the polynomials in A has dimension equal to the number of distinct eigenvalues of A.

- 7. Prove Theorem 0.3.
- 8. Prove from the definition of the pseudoinverse that it must be unique (in general, no restriction to the symmetric case).
- 9. Let A be a symmetric matrix, and suppose $x = A^+b$. Show that x minimizes ||Ax b||, and moreover, that amongst all such minimizers, x has minimum norm.
- 10. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Show that AB and BA have the same nonzero eigenvalues (with multiplicities), and hence give a relationship between the characteristic polynomials of AB and of BA.