

# MA431 Spectral Graph Theory: Lecture 10

Ahmad Abdi

Neil Olver

## 22 The multiplicity of $\lambda_2$ for planar graphs

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a 3-connected planar graph, let  $L$  be the Laplacian matrix, and let  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be its Laplacian spectrum. In this section, we prove that the second eigenvalue,  $\lambda_2$ , has multiplicity at most 3.

**Lemma 22.1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected graph, let  $L$  be its Laplacian matrix, and let  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be its Laplacian spectrum. Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}_+^V$  be a  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvector whose support is minimal amongst all  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvectors of  $L$ . Let  $U_+ := \{u \in V : f_u > 0\}$ ,  $U_- := \{u \in V : f_u < 0\}$ , and  $U := U_+ \cup U_-$ . Then the following statements hold:

1. every vertex of  $V - U$  with a neighbour in one of  $U_+, U_-$  has a neighbour in other set,
2.  $G[U_+], G[U_-]$  are connected subgraphs.

*Proof.* As  $\mathbf{1}^\top f = 0$ , the sets  $U_+, U_-$  are nonempty; this will be a useful fact in our proof. For every vertex  $u \in V$ , we have  $(\deg(u) - \lambda_2) \cdot f_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} f_v$ . These equalities imply (1) immediately. (2) We will show that  $G[U_+]$  is connected; that  $G[U_-]$  is connected follows from applying a similar argument to  $-f$ . Suppose for a contradiction  $G[U_+]$  is not connected. Then there exists a partition of  $U_+$  into nonempty parts  $I, J$  such that there is no edge between the two parts. Define the nonzero vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^V$  as follows:

$$g_u := \begin{cases} f_u & \text{if } u \in I \\ -\alpha \cdot f_u & \text{if } u \in J \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is chosen so that  $\mathbf{1}^\top g = 0$ . We claim that  $g$  is a  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvector of  $L$ , thereby contradicting the minimality of the support of  $f$ .

**Claim.**  $\frac{g^\top Lg}{g^\top g} \leq \lambda_2$ .

*Proof of Claim.* For subsets  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq V$ , denote by  $L[S_1, S_2]$  the submatrix of  $L$  whose rows correspond to  $S_1$  and whose columns correspond to  $S_2$ , and by  $v_{S_1}$  the subvector of  $v \in \mathbb{R}^V$  restricted to the coordinates in  $S_1$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
g^\top Lg &= g_I^\top L[I, I]g_I + g_J^\top L[J, J]g_J && \text{because } L[I, J] = \mathbf{0} \\
&= f_I^\top L[I, I]f_I + \alpha^2 f_J^\top L[J, J]f_J \\
&= f_I^\top (\lambda_2 f_I - L[I, U_-]f_{U_-}) + \alpha^2 f_J^\top (\lambda_2 f_J - L[J, U_-]f_{U_-}) && \text{because } Lf = \lambda_2 f \\
&= \lambda_2 g^\top g - f_I^\top L[I, U_-]f_{U_-} - \alpha^2 f_J^\top L[J, U_-]f_{U_-} \\
&\leq \lambda_2 g^\top g
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the inequalities  $f_I, f_J > \mathbf{0}$ ,  $f_{U_-} < \mathbf{0}$ , and the fact that  $L[I, U_-], L[J, U_-]$  have nonpositive entries.  $\diamond$

However, as  $g \in \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle^\perp$ , CFT (3) implies that  $\frac{g^\top Lg}{g^\top g} \geq \lambda_2$ , and equality is achieved only for vectors  $g$  in the  $\lambda_2$ -eigenspace. The claim above implies that indeed equality is achieved, and so  $g$  must be a  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvector, thereby contradicting the support minimality of  $f$ .  $\square$

We need the following classic result from Graph Theory:

**Theorem 22.2** (Menger's Theorem). Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph, and let  $s, t$  be distinct vertices. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. there exist  $k$  internally vertex-disjoint  $st$ -paths,
2. for all  $X \subseteq V - \{s, t\}$  such that  $|X| < k$ , the vertices  $s, t$  belong to the same connected component of  $G \setminus X$ .

We are now ready for the main result of this section:

**Theorem 22.3.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a 3-connected planar graph, let  $L$  be the Laplacian matrix, and let  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be its Laplacian spectrum. Then  $\lambda_2$  has multiplicity at most 3.

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction  $\lambda_2$  has multiplicity at least 4. Embed  $G$  on the plane; let  $C$  be a facial (i.e. peripheral) cycle, and let  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  be distinct vertices of  $V(C)$ . Our contrary assumption implies that there exists a  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvector  $f$  such that  $f_{v_1} = f_{v_2} = f_{v_3} = 0$ . We may assume that  $f$  is support minimal amongst all  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvectors. Let  $U_+ := \{u \in V : f_u > 0\}$ ,  $U_- := \{u \in V : f_u < 0\}$ , and  $U := U_+ \cup U_-$ .

As  $G$  is 3-connected, we may apply Menger's Theorem and conclude that there exist vertex-disjoint paths  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  such that for each  $i \in [3]$ ,

- $P_i$  is a  $u_i v_i$ -path in  $G[V - U]$ , and
- $u_i$  has a neighbour in  $U$ .

To see this, let  $G'$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  after introducing a new vertex,  $t$ , with neighbours  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ . Observe that  $G'$  remains 3-connected. Now, pick an arbitrary vertex  $s \in U$ , and find three internally vertex-disjoint  $st$ -paths in  $G'$ , whose existence is guaranteed by Menger's Theorem. The three paths  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  are appropriate subpaths of these  $st$ -paths.

Moving forward, note that by placing  $t$  in the face bounded by  $C$ , we get a plane embedding of  $G'$  as well. Our contradiction will come from the fact that  $G'$  has a  $K_{3,3}$  minor, which is at odds with the planarity of  $G'$  by Remark 21.3.

By Lemma 22.1, in  $G$ , each  $u_i$  has a neighbour in  $U_+$  and a neighbour in  $U_-$ , and  $G[U_+], G[U_-]$  are disjoint connected subgraphs. Thus, by contracting  $G[U_+], G[U_-]$  to single vertices  $u_+, u_-$ , respectively, and by contracting  $P_1, P_2, P_3$ , we obtain a (not necessarily simple) minor of  $G'$  where each of  $u_+, u_-, t$  is a neighbour of each of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ , implying in turn that  $G'$  has a  $K_{3,3}$  minor, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an arbitrary connected graph. A *generalised Laplacian* is a symmetric  $V \times V$  matrix  $Q$  such that for all  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$Q_{uv} \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } u, v \text{ are adjacent} \\ = 0 & \text{if } u, v \text{ are nonadjacent and distinct,} \end{cases}$$

Observe that there are no requirements on the diagonal entries of  $Q$ . The generalised Laplacian matrix exhibits similar behaviour as the Laplacian matrix. For instance, in Exercise 7, we see that  $\lambda_1(Q)$  is simple, and in Exercises 8 and 9, we see that if  $G$  is 3-connected and planar, then  $\lambda_2(Q)$  has multiplicity at most three.

Observe that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Q - \lambda I$  is also a generalised Laplacian, one whose eigenvectors are the same as the eigenvectors of  $Q$ , and whose eigenvalues are obtained by subtracting  $\alpha$  from the eigenvalues of  $Q$ . Subsequently, the multiplicity of  $\lambda := \lambda_2(Q)$  can be thought of as the *corank*, i.e. dimension of the kernel, of  $Q - \lambda I$ .

Putting things together, we obtain that if  $G$  is 3-connected and planar, then the corank of any generalised Laplacian is at most three. In fact, when  $G$  is 3-connected and planar, one can obtain a planar drawing of  $G$  from the kernel of a generalised Laplacian of maximum corank; we refer the interested reader to [2] (§13.11).

An interesting graph invariant, known as the *Colin de Verdière number* and denoted  $\mu(G)$ , is defined as the maximum corank of a generalised Laplacian  $Q$  of  $G$  subject to an additional condition that

there is no nonzero  $V \times V$  matrix  $B$  such that  $QB = \mathbf{0}$  and  $B_{uv} = 0$  whenever  $u, v$  are equal or adjacent.

This technical condition is known as the *Strong Arnold Property*. The parameter was introduced in [1], where it was shown that  $\mu(G)$  is monotone under taking minors and that planarity of  $G$  is characterized by the inequality  $\mu(G) \leq 3$ . Later on, it was shown that *linkless embeddability* is characterized by the inequality  $\mu(G) \leq 4$  [3]. See [4] for a survey on the parameter.

## Acknowledgements

The main result of §22 is due to Colin de Verdière [1], but the short proof is due to van der Holst [5].

## References

- [1] Y. Colin de Verdière. On a new graph invariant and a criterion for planarity. In N. Robertson and P. Seymour, editors, *Graph Structure Theory*, pages 137–147, 1991.
- [2] C. Godsil and G. Royle. *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Springer, New York, NY, 2000.
- [3] L. Lovász and A. Schrijver. A borsuk theorem for antipodal links and a spectral characterization of linklessly embeddable graphs. *Proc. of AMS*, 126(2):1275–1285, 1998.
- [4] H. van der Holst, L. Lovasz, and A. Schrijver. The colin de verdière graph parameter. *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, 7:29–85, 1999.
- [5] H. Vanderholst. A short proof of the planarity characterization of Colin de Verdière. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 65(2):269–272, 1995.

---

## Exercises

1. Prove Theorem 21.5, Claim 1.
2. Prove Theorem 21.5, Claim 7.
3. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be  $k$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Prove that  $x^* = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i$  is the unique minimiser of the function  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \|x - v_i\|^2$ .
4. Let  $G$  be a connected graph, and let  $L$  be its Laplacian matrix. Prove that every proper principal submatrix of  $L$  is nonsingular.
5. Based on the results of this lecture, describe an algorithm that given a 3-connected graph  $G = (V, E)$  runs in time polynomial in  $|V|$  and outputs a straight-line embedding of  $G$  or certifies that  $G$  is not planar.
6. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected graph, and let  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be its Laplacian spectrum.
  - (a) Prove that if  $G$  is a path, then  $\lambda_2$  has multiplicity at most 1.
  - (b)  $G$  is *outerplanar* if it has a plane embedding where every vertex belongs to the boundary of the same face. Prove that if  $G$  is a 2-connected outerplanar graph, then  $\lambda_2$  has multiplicity at most 2.
7. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected graph. Let  $Q$  be a generalised Laplacian matrix. Let  $\lambda$  be the smallest eigenvalue of  $Q$ . Prove that  $\lambda$  is a simple eigenvalue, and each associated eigenvector has nonzero entries of the same sign.

8. Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex connected graph, let  $Q$  be a generalised Laplacian, and let  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the spectrum of  $Q$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}_+^V$  be a  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvector whose support is minimal amongst all  $\lambda_2$ -eigenvectors of  $Q$ . Let  $U_+ := \{u \in V : f_u > 0\}$ ,  $U_- := \{u \in V : f_u < 0\}$ , and  $U := U_+ \cup U_-$ . Prove that  $G[U_+]$ ,  $G[U_-]$  are connected subgraphs.
9. Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex connected graph, let  $Q$  be a generalised Laplacian, and let  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the spectrum of  $Q$ . Prove that if  $G$  is 3-connected and planar, then  $\lambda_2$  has multiplicity at most 3.