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## The incidence matrix of an orientation

- Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges.
- Denote by $\vec{G}=(V, \vec{E})$ an arbitrary orientation of $G$.


## Recall

The incidence matrix of $\vec{G}$ is the $V \times \vec{E}$ matrix $B$ where column $(v, u) \in \vec{E}$ is equal to $e_{u}-e_{v}$.
Recall
The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is $B B^{\top}$.

## Cycle and cut spaces

## Cycle space

$$
W^{\diamond}=\left\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}: B f=\mathbf{0}\right\}
$$

## Cut space

$$
W^{\star}=\left\{B^{\top} \pi: \pi \in \mathbb{R}^{V}\right\}
$$

Remark
(1) $W^{\star}=\left(W^{\diamond}\right)^{\perp}$ and $W^{\diamond}=\left(W^{\star}\right)^{\perp}$
( $\mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}=W^{\diamond} \oplus W^{\star}$.

Cycle and cut spaces

## Theorem G comected

$\operatorname{dim}\left(W^{\diamond}\right)=m-n+1$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(W^{\star}\right)=n-1$.
Consequence for the cycle space
(1) $\left\{\chi\left(C_{e}\right): e \in \vec{E} \backslash T\right\}$ is a basis for $W^{\diamond}$.
(2) $W^{\diamond}=\operatorname{span}\{\chi(C): C$ is a directed cycle of $\overleftrightarrow{G}\}$.

Consequence for the cut space
(1) $\left\{\chi\left(\delta^{+}\left(S_{e}\right)\right): e \in T\right\}$ is a basis for $W^{\star}$.
(2) $W^{\star}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\chi\left(\delta^{+}(S)\right): S \subseteq V, S \neq \emptyset, V\right\}$.
(0) $W^{\star}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\chi\left(\delta^{+}(r)\right): r \in V\right\}$.

- $\left\{\chi\left(\delta^{+}(r)\right): r \in V \backslash t\right\}$ is a basis for $W^{\star}$, for any $t \in V$.

$$
\nabla, \text { grad, and } \nabla \text { grad }
$$

## The linear operator $\nabla$

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$. Think of $f$ as a flow on $\vec{G}$ where $f_{(u, v)}$ is the amount of flow going from $u$ to $v$.

## Net flow

For each $v \in V$, define

$$
\nabla f_{v}=(\nabla f)_{v}:=\sum_{(u, v) \in \vec{E}} f_{(u, v)}-\sum_{(v, w) \in \vec{E}} f_{(v, w)} .
$$

$\nabla: \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{V}$ is a linear operator represented by the matrix $B$. That is, for each $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$, the image $\nabla f$ is the matrix product $B f$.

## Definition

A circulation of $\vec{G}$ is a flow $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ s.t. $\nabla f=\mathbf{0}$.

## Remark

$W^{\diamond}$ is precisely the set of all circulations of $\vec{G}$.

## The linear operator grad

## Definition (gradient)

Let grad : $\mathbb{R}^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ be the operator defined as follows: for each $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$,

$$
(\operatorname{grad} \pi)_{(u, v)}=\pi_{v}-\pi_{u}
$$


grad is a linear operator represented by the matrix $B^{\top}$. That is, for each $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$, the image $\operatorname{grad} \pi$ is the matrix product $B^{\top} \pi$.

```
Range of grad
range(grad)}={\mp@subsup{B}{}{\top}\pi:\pi\in\mp@subsup{\mathbb{R}}{}{V}}=\mp@subsup{\omega}{}{*
```


## The Laplacian as a differential operator

## Summary

(1) $\nabla$ is represented by $B$.
(2) grad is represented by $B^{\top}$.

Lemma
$\nabla$ and grad are adjoint operators: $\langle\nabla f, \pi\rangle=\langle f, \operatorname{grad} \pi\rangle$.

Lemma
$\nabla \operatorname{grad}: \mathbb{R}^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{V}$ is a linear operator represented by the Laplacian matrix $L$ of $G$.

$$
L=B B^{\top}
$$

## Electrical flows

Energy
Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$ be a demand vector such that $b=\nabla f$ for some flow $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$.
Energy
The energy of $f$ is $\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{e \in \vec{E}} f_{e}^{2}$.
Goal
Find a flow of minimum energy satisfying the demands $b$. That is, solve the quadratic program:

$$
\min \left\{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|^{2}: \nabla f^{\prime}=b, f^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}\right\}
$$

Answer: The flow turns out to be unique! Let's find it.

## Orthogonal projection onto cut space

## Definition

Denote by $P_{\star}: \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ the linear operator that projects orthogonally onto $W^{\star}$.


## $\mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$

## Orthogonal projection onto cut space

## Definition

Denote by $P_{\star}: \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ the linear operator that projects orthogonally onto $W^{\star}$.

```
Lemma
If \(\nabla f^{\prime}=\nabla f\), then \(P_{\star} f^{\prime}=P_{\star} f\).
```


## Orthogonal projection onto cut space

## Definition

Denote by $P_{\star}: \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ the linear operator that projects orthogonally onto $W^{\star}$.

## Lemma

If $\nabla f^{\prime}=\nabla f$, then $P_{\star} f^{\prime}=P_{\star} f$.

## Proof.

- $\nabla\left(f^{\prime}-f\right)=\nabla f^{\prime}-\nabla f=0$, so $f^{\prime}-f \in W^{\diamond}$.
- Thus, $f^{\prime}, f$ have the same orthogonal projection onto $\left(W^{\diamond}\right)^{\perp}=W^{\star}$.


## Flow of minimum energy

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$ be a demand vector such that $b=\nabla f$ for some flow $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$.

## Theorem

Let $\iota:=P_{\star} f$. Then $\iota$ is the unique optimal solution to

$$
\min \left\{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|^{2}: \nabla f^{\prime}=b, f^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}\right\}
$$



## Flow of minimum energy

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$ be a demand vector such that $b=\nabla f$ for some flow $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$.

## Theorem

Let $\iota:=P_{\star} f$. Then $\iota$ is the unique optimal solution to

$$
\min \left\{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|^{2}: \nabla f^{\prime}=b, f^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}\right\}
$$

Proof.

- Let $f^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary feasible solution.
- We know $P_{\star} f^{\prime}=\iota$.
- Let $g \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ be the orthogonal projection of $f^{\prime}$ onto $W^{\diamond}$.
- Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}=g+i \\
& \left\|f^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\|g\|^{2}+\|i\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Flow of minimum energy
Claim I
$\nabla \iota=b$. That is, $\iota$ is also a feasible solution to the quadratic program.

$$
\nabla i=\nabla\left(f^{\prime}-g^{\prime}\right)=\nabla f^{\prime}-\underbrace{\nabla g}_{0}=\nabla f=b
$$

Flow of minimum energy
Claim 1
$\nabla \iota=b$. That is, $\iota$ is also a feasible solution to the quadratic program.
$g$ is a circulation so $\nabla g=0$, so $\nabla \iota=\nabla f-\nabla g=b$.
Claim 2
$\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \geq\|\iota\|^{2}$ with equality of $f^{\prime}=\iota$.
Follows from $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\|g\|^{2}+\|i\|^{2}$.

## Electrical flow

## Definition

$\iota$ is called the electrical flow satisfying the demands $b$.
Think of $\vec{G}$ as an electrical network, the vertices as nodes, and the arcs as resistors of unit resistance, and therefore unit conductance, connecting the end nodes.


Electrical flow

Definition
$\iota$ is called the electrical flow satisfying the demands $b$.
Think of $\vec{G}$ as an electrical network, the vertices as nodes, and the arcs as resistors of unit resistance, and therefore unit conductance, connecting the end nodes.

Lemma

$$
\langle\iota, f\rangle=\|\iota\|^{2} .
$$

Proof.
Recall $f=i+g$ for some $g \in w^{\wedge}$. Then

$$
\langle\iota, f\rangle=\langle i, i+g\rangle=\langle i, i\rangle+\underset{n}{\langle i, g\rangle}=\langle i, i\rangle
$$

Electrical potentials
We know $\iota \in W^{\star}=\operatorname{range}(\operatorname{grad})$. Thus, $\iota=\operatorname{grad} \pi$ for some $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$.


## Electrical potentials

We know $\iota \in W^{\star}=$ range $(\operatorname{grad})$. Thus, $\iota=\operatorname{grad} \pi$ for some $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$.

## Definition

$\pi_{\nu}, v \in V$ are called the electrical potentials.
Kirchhoff's second law (potential difference)
${ }^{\iota}(u, v)=\pi_{v}-\pi_{u}$ for all $(u, v) \in \vec{E}$.

## Electrical potentials

We know $\iota \in W^{\star}=$ range(grad). Thus, $\iota=\operatorname{grad} \pi$ for some $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{V}$.

## Definition

$\pi_{v}, v \in V$ are called the electrical potentials.
Kirchhoff's second law (potential difference)
${ }^{\iota}(u, v)=\pi_{v}-\pi_{u}$ for all $(u, v) \in \vec{E}$.

## Remark

The electrical potentials are unique up to shifting by a constant.

$$
\text { grad: } \mathbb{R}^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}
$$

Matrix of projection onto $W^{\star}$
Recall
$P_{\star}: \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ is the linear operator that projects orthogonally onto $W^{\star}$.
Let $\Pi$ be the $\vec{E} \times \vec{E}$ matrix where column $e$ is precisely $P_{\star} \chi^{e}$.

## Remark

$\Pi$ represents the linear operator $P_{\star}$ in the basis $\left\{\chi^{e}: e \in \vec{E}\right\}$.

Matrix of projection onto $W^{\star}$

## Recall

$P_{\star}: \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ is the linear operator that projects orthogonally onto $W^{\star}$.
Let $\Pi$ be the $\vec{E} \times \vec{E}$ matrix where column $e$ is precisely $P_{\star} \chi^{e}$.

## Remark

$\Pi$ represents the linear operator $P_{\star}$ in the basis $\left\{\chi^{e}: e \in \vec{E}\right\}$.

## Remark orthogonal

$\Pi$ is a projection matrix, so $\Pi^{2}=\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\top}=\Pi$.
idempotent
self_adjoint

$$
\langle x, \pi y\rangle=\langle\pi x, y\rangle
$$

Computing $\iota$ and $\Pi$
Question
Given $b=\nabla f$, how do we compute $\iota$ ?
(1) We know $\iota_{e}=\pi_{v}-\pi_{u}$ for each $e=(u, v) \in \vec{E}$. That is, $\iota=\operatorname{grad} \pi$.
(2) So how do we compute $\pi$ ?
(3) We know

$$
b=\nabla \iota=\nabla \operatorname{grad} \pi=L \pi
$$

(9) We can get one solution $\pi$ by solving

$$
\pi=L^{+} b \quad L^{+} \text {: pleudoinverse of } L
$$

(9) Putting it altogether we get
(0) Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi f=\iota=\operatorname{grad} \pi=\operatorname{grad} L^{+} b & =\operatorname{grad} L^{+} \nabla f \\
& =B^{\top} L^{+} B f
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Pi=B^{\top} L^{+} B
$$

## Effective resistance between two nodes

Take distinct vertices $s, t \in V$. Send an electrical unit flow $\iota^{\prime}$ from $s$ to $t$, with electrical potentials $\pi_{v}^{\prime}, v \in V$.

## Definition

The potential difference $\pi_{t}^{\prime}-\pi_{s}^{\prime}$ is the effective resistance between $s$ and $t$.


Effective resistance of an edge
Take an edge $e=(s, t) \in \vec{E}$.

## Definition

The effective resistance of edge $e$ is the effective resistance between $s$ and $t$.


Effective resistance of an edge
Take an edge $e=(s, t) \in \vec{E}$.


## Definition

The effective resistance of edge $e$ is the effective resistance between $s$ and $t$.

## Theorem (Characterization of Effective Resistance)

Let $\iota^{\prime}$ be the electrical unit flow from $s$ to $t$. Let $\pi_{v}^{\prime}, v \in V$ be the electrical potentials. Then
(1) $\pi_{t}^{\prime}-\pi_{s}^{\prime}=i_{e}^{\prime}$ by Kircchoff's 2nd law
(2) the effective resistance of $e$ is $\iota_{e}^{\prime}$

Effective resistance of an edge
Take an edge $e=(s, t) \in \vec{E}$.

## Definition

The effective resistance of edge $e$ is the effective resistance between $s$ and $t$.

## Theorem (Characterization of Effective Resistance)

Let $\iota^{\prime}$ be the electrical unit flow from $s$ to $t$. Let $\pi_{v}^{\prime}, v \in V$ be the electrical potentials. Then
(1) $\pi_{t}^{\prime}-\pi_{s}^{\prime}=\iota_{e}^{\prime}$
(2) the effective resistance of $e$ is $\iota_{e}^{\prime}$
(3) the effective resistance of $e$ is $\Pi_{e, e}$
(c) $\iota_{e}^{\prime}=\left\|\iota^{\prime}\right\|^{2}$.

Proof of Theorem
(3) $T_{e, e}=\left(P_{-x} x^{e}\right)_{e}$

Note that $x^{e}$ is a flow of unit value from $s$ to $t$ !

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(i^{\prime}\right)_{e} \\
& =i_{e}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$


another flow satisfying
(4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|i^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle i^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle i^{\prime}, x^{\prime} e\right\rangle \\
& =i_{e}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Effective resistance and connectivity
Lemma
(1) The effective resistance of $e \in \vec{E}$ is at most 1 .
(2) The effective resistance of $e \in \vec{E}$ is 1 if and only if $G \backslash e$ is not connected.

Proof.
Exercise.
(2)


Intuition: The higher the effective resistance, the "move important" the edge.

## Effective resistance and connectivity

## Lemma

(1) The effective resistance of $e \in \vec{E}$ is at most 1 .
(c) The effective resistance of $e \in \vec{E}$ is 1 if and only if $G \backslash e$ is not connected.

## Proof.

Exercise.
Rayleigh monotonicity principle
Let $G^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ with $E^{\prime} \supseteq E$. Then for any two vertices $u, v \in V$, the effective resistance between $u$ and $v$ in $G^{\prime}$ is smaller than or equal to the effective resistance between $u$ and $v$ in $G$.

## Proof.

Exercise.

$k$ edges

Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem
Take an edge $e=(s, t) \in \vec{E}$.
Recall
$T(G)$ is the number of spanning trees of $G$.
Theorem
The effective resistance of $e$ is $\frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}$.
$T(G / e)=\neq$ spanning trees of $G$ that contain $e$
$\therefore \frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}=\begin{gathered}\text { the proportion of spanning trees that } \\ \text { Gater } e\end{gathered}$ contain $e$

## Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem

Take an edge $e=(s, t) \in \vec{E}$.

## Recall

$T(G)$ is the number of spanning trees of $G$.

## Theorem

The effective resistance of $e$ is $\frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}$.
Relabel $V=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $e=(n, n-1)$.

## Recall



Let $\iota^{\prime}$ be the electrical unit flow from $n$ to $n-1$. Let $\pi_{v}^{\prime}, v \in V$ be the electrical potentials. Then the effective resistance of $e$ is $\pi_{n-1}^{\prime}-\pi_{n}^{\prime}$.

Proof of Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem

- Let us apply Cramer's rule to solve

$$
L \pi^{\prime}=\underbrace{e_{n-1}-e_{n}}_{\text {demand vector }}
$$

## Proof of Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem

- Let us apply Cramer's rule to solve

$$
L \pi^{\prime}=e_{n-1}-e_{n}
$$

- The rows of $L$ add up to $\mathbf{0}$, so we may drop the last row of $L \pi^{\prime}=e_{n-1}-e_{n}$.
- Force $\pi_{n}^{\prime}=0$.


## Proof of Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem

- Let us apply Cramer's rule to solve

$$
L \pi^{\prime}=e_{n-1}-e_{n}
$$

- The rows of $L$ add up to 0 , so we may drop the last row of $L \pi^{\prime}=e_{n-1}-e_{n}$.
- To ensure that $\pi^{\prime}$ is unique, we enforce in addition

$$
\pi_{n}^{\prime}=0
$$



Proof of Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem

- Let us apply Cramer's rule to solve

$$
L \pi^{\prime}=e_{n-1}-e_{n}
$$

- The rows of $L$ add up to 0 , so we may drop the last row of $L \pi^{\prime}=e_{n-1}-e_{n}$.
- To ensure that $\pi^{\prime}$ is unique, we enforce in addition

$$
\pi_{n}^{\prime}=0
$$

- As a result, $\pi^{\prime}$ is obtained by solving

See th Matrix. Tree

$$
L[n] y=e_{n-1}
$$

- By Cramer's rule,

$$
\pi_{n-1}^{\prime}-\pi_{n}^{\prime}=\pi_{n-1}^{\prime}=y_{n-1}=\frac{\operatorname{det}(L[n][n-1])}{\operatorname{det}(L[n])}=\frac{1 T(G / e)}{T(G)}
$$

The diagonal entries of $\square$
Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem
The effective resistance of $e$ is $\frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}$.

## Recall

The effective resistance of $e$ is $\Pi_{e, e}$.
Corollary
$\Pi_{e, e}=\frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}$.

## A strengthening of Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem

- Take two vertices $s, t \in V$.
- For each spanning tree $T \subseteq \vec{E}$, let $f^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ be the flow that sends 1 unit of flow from $s$ to $t$ along the unique st-path in $T$.


A strengthening of Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem

- Take two vertices $s, t \in V$.
- For each spanning tree $T \subseteq \vec{E}$, let $f^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}}$ be the flow that sends 1 unit of flow from $s$ to $t$ along the unique st-path in $T$.
- Let

$$
g:=\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} f^{T}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is the set of all spanning trees.
Theorem
$g$ is the electrical unit flow from $s$ to $t$.
This implies Kirchhoff's effect hive resistance theorem ( set $e=(s, t) \&$ look at $g_{e}$ ).

## Proof

Claim 1
$\nabla g=e_{t}-e_{s}$.

Proof
Claim 1
$\nabla g=e_{t}-e_{s}$.

$$
\nabla g=\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \nabla f^{T}=\frac{1}{T(G)} \cdot T(G) \cdot\left(e_{t}-e_{s}\right)=e_{t}-e_{s}
$$

Claim 2

$$
g \in W^{\star}=\left(W^{\diamond}\right)^{\top}
$$

- Let $C \subseteq \vec{E}$ be a directed cycle in $\stackrel{G}{G}$.
- It suffices to show $g^{\top} x(c)=0$
- The is invariant under the orientation of $G$, so WMA the $\underset{A n m a d A b i}{C}$ is directed cycle in $\vec{G}$.


## Proof

Thus,

$$
g^{\top} \chi(C)=\sum_{a \in C} g_{a}
$$

## Proof

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\top} \chi(C) & =\sum_{a \in C} g_{a} \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{a \in C} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} f_{a}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\top} \chi(C) & =\sum_{a \in C} g_{a} \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{a \in C} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} f_{a}^{T} \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum\left(f_{a}^{T}: a \in C, T \in \mathcal{T}, a \in T\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\top} \chi(C) & =\sum_{a \in C} g_{a} \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{a \in C} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} f_{a}^{T} \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum\left(f_{a}^{T}: a \in C, T \in \mathcal{T}, a \in T\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{F} \sum\left(f_{a}^{T}: a \in C, T \in \mathcal{T}, T \backslash a=F\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F \subseteq \vec{E}$ is any spanning forest with two connected components.


## Proof

Fix $F$. Let $S, V \backslash S$ be the two connected components where $s \in S$.

- Case 1: $t \in S$. Then, if $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and a satisfies $T \backslash a=F$, then $f_{a}^{T}=0$, so

$$
\sum\left(f_{a}^{T}: a \in C, T \in \mathcal{T}, T \backslash a=F\right)=0
$$

Proof
Fix $F$. Let $S, V \backslash S$ be the two connected components where $s \in S$.

- Case 2: $t \in V \backslash S$. Then


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum\left(f_{a}^{T}: a \in C, T \in \mathcal{T}, T \backslash a=F\right) \\
& =\sum(1: a \in C, \operatorname{tail}(a) \in S, \operatorname{head}(a) \in V \backslash S) \\
& \quad+\sum(-1: a \in C, \operatorname{tail}(a) \in V \backslash S, \operatorname{head}(a) \in S)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
=\left|c \cap \delta^{+}(s)\right|-\left|c \cap \delta^{-}(s)\right|
$$

$=0 \quad b / c \quad C$ il a directed cycle!

## Proof

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\top} \chi(C) & =\sum_{a \in C} g_{a} \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{a \in C} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} f_{a}^{T} \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{0}\left(f_{a}^{T}: a \in C, T \in \mathcal{T}, a \in T\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{F} \underbrace{\sum_{0}\left(f_{a}^{T}: a \in C, T \in \mathcal{T}, T \backslash a=F\right)}_{0} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

## Theorem

$g$ is the electrical unit flow from $s$ to $t$.
Proof.

## Claim 1

$\nabla g=e_{t}-e_{s}$.
Claim 2
$g \in W^{\star}=\left(W^{\diamond}\right)^{\top}$.
Thus, $g$ must be the electrical unit flow from $s$ to $t$.

The columns of $\Pi$
Take $e=(s, t) \in \vec{E}$.

## Recall

$P_{\star} \chi^{e}$ is the electrical unit flow from $s$ to $t$.
Recall
$P_{\star} \chi^{e}$ is column $e$ of $\Pi$.

## The columns of $\Pi$

Take $e=(s, t) \in \vec{E}$.

## Recall

$P_{\star} \chi^{e}$ is the electrical unit flow from $s$ to $t$.

```
Recall
P\star \chi}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{e}\mathrm{ is column e of ח.
```


## We just showed

$\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} f^{T}$ is the electrical unit flow from $s$ to $t$.
Corollary
Column $e$ of $\Pi$ is equal to $\frac{1}{T(G)} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} f^{T}$.

## Uniform sampling of spanning trees

Recall
$\Pi_{e, e}=\frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}$.

## Remark

$\frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}$ is the fraction of spanning trees of $G$ that use the edge $e$.

## Uniform sampling of spanning trees

## Recall

$\Pi_{e, e}=\frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}$.

## Remark

$\frac{T(G / e)}{T(G)}$ is the fraction of spanning trees of $G$ that use the edge $e$.
Kirchhoff's effective resistance theorem (rephrased)
If $T$ is a uniformly random spanning tree, then $\operatorname{Pr}[e \in T]=\Pi_{e, e}$.

## The Transfer-Current Theorem

## The Transfer-Current Theorem

If $T$ is a uniformly random spanning tree, then for any $F \subseteq E$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[F \subseteq T]=\operatorname{det}\left(\Pi_{F}\right)
$$

where $\Pi_{F}$ denotes the principal submatrix of $\Pi$ indexed by $F$.

